UKRAINE REPORT ON THE GREAT BUSTARD MOU AND ACTION PLAN

This reporting format is designed to monitor the implementation of the Action Plan associated with the Memorandum of Understanding on the Conservation and Management of the Middle-European Population of the Great Bustard (*Otis tarda*). Reporting on the Action Plan's implementation will support exchange of information throughout the range and assist the identification of necessary future actions by the Signatory States. The questions presented here go beyond the scope of information already requested from CMS Contracting Parties for national reports to the CMS Conference of the Parties.

GENERAL INFORMATION

Agency or institution responsible for the preparation of this report

Azov-Black Sea Ornithological Station, I.I. Schmalhausen Institute of Zoology of National Academy of Sciences of the Ukraine and Melitopol State Teacher Training University, Melitopol' (anthropoides@mail.ru)

List any other agencies, institutions, or NGOs that have provided input

Ukrainian Society for the Protection of Birds, BirdLife – Ukraine (USPB)

Reports submitted to date:

First: (Period covered) until 2004 Second: 01.01.2004–31.12.2007

Period covered by this report

(day) (month) (year) to (day) (month) (year):

1.01.2008-31.12.2012

Memorandum in effect in country since:

[Date: dd / mm / yy]:

17/04/2002

Designated Focal Point (and full contact details):

Dr. Volodymyr Domashlinets

Head of Fauna Conservation Division

Ministry of Ecology and Natural Resources of Ukraine

Urytskogo str., Kyiv, 03035, Ukraine

Tel.: +380 44 206 31 27, Fax: +380 44 206 31 27 / 34

e-mail: domashlinets@menr.gov.ua, vdomashlinets@yahoo.com

PART I. GENERAL

This questionnaire follows the structure and numbering of the Action Plan annexed to the Memorandum of Understanding to make it easier to read the relevant action points before the form is filled in. In some cases, however, sub-actions were not listed separately for the sake of simplicity and to avoid duplications. They should however be taken into consideration when answering the questions.

0. National work programme	
Is there a national work programme or action plan alrepursuant to Paragraph 4(g) of the Memorandum of Ur	
parsuant to Furugruph (g) of the Memorandum of Or	ĭ Yes □ No
1. Habitat protection	
1.1 Designation of protected areas. To what extent are the display, breeding, stop-over an	d wintering sites covered by protected areas?
Designation of protected areas under national law	Classification of Special Protection Areas according to the requirements of Art.4.1 of the EC Birds Directive
□ Fully (>75%) □ High (50-75%) □ Medium (10-49%) ☑ Low (<10%) □ None □ Not applicable ¹	☐ Fully (>75%) ☐ High (50-75%) ☐ Medium (10-49%) ☑ Low (<10%) ☐ None ☐ Not applicable
What measures were taken to ensure the adequate pro	tection of the species and its habitat at these sites?
to the Ukrainian legislation, it should be protected thr the territory National Nature Park "Charivna havan" (Magic ha established in December 2009 and 2012 according Landscape Sanctuary of local importance was establis - There are plans to expand the territory of existed Re	ted Data Book of Ukraine in 2009, therefore, according oughout its range regardless the conservation status of arbor), Landscape Recreation Park "Donuzlav" were gly in the western part of AR Crimea and Osovinskiy thed in December 2011 in the eastern part of AR Crimea. It is gional Landscape Park "Karalarsky" and upgrade its Prnithological Sanctuary of state importance "Lost world"
Where are the remaining gaps?	
General places are on Kerch and Tarhankut peninsul Kherson oblast`), Pryazovs`ka elevation (Zaporizhzhi The wintering places are southeast Khersons`ka Tarkhankut peninsulas.	
Are currently unoccupied, but potential breeding habi	tats identified in your country? ☑ Yes □ No □ Not applicable²

¹ The species occurs only irregularly, no regular stop-over or wintering sites identified. ² Countries *outside* of the historic (beginning of 20th Century) breeding range of the species.

If yes, please explain how these areas are protected or managed to enable the re-establishment of Great Bustard.

These areas are not protected and managed.

peninsula, AR Crimea).

1.2 Measures taken to ensure the maintenance of Great Bustard habitats outside of protected areas. Please describe what measures have been taken to maintain land-use practices beneficial for Great Bustard outside of protected areas (e.g., set-aside and extensification schemes, cultivation of alfalfa and oilseed rape for winter, maintenance of rotational grazing, etc.).

The USPB rented for long term two steppe sites in AR Crimea, which is important for the Great Bustard breeding (120 ha for 20 years on the Tarkhankut peninsula and 65 ha for 49 years on the Kerch peninsula). USPB Local Conservation Groups in AR Crimea care about key breeding and wintering sites in AR Crimea. Local Farmers are encouraged to use ecologically friendly agricultural practices.

To what extent do these measures, combined with site protect ☐ Fully (>75%) ☐ Most (50-75%) ☐ Some (10-49%) ☐ Little (<10%) ☐ Not at all ☐ Not applicable	ction, cover t	he natio	onal popu	lation?
Are recently (over the last 20 years) abandoned Great Bustar	rd breeding h ☑ Yes	nabitats i		n your country? ☐ Not applicable
What habitat management measures have been taken to enco	ourage the ret	turn of (Great Bus	stard?
Restoration of degraded steppe areas in Crimea through see	ed banks esta	ıblishing	g and pla	nting
If there were any measures taken, please provide information	n on their im	pact.		
Results are expected in the coming years 1.3 Measures taken to avoid fragmentation of Great Bust Are new projects potentially causing fragmentation of the sp highways and railways, irrigation, planting of shelterbelts, af environmental impact assessment in your country?	ecies' habita fforestation, _l	t (such : power li	ines, etc.)	
Is there any aspect of the existing legislation on impact asses prevent fragmentation of Great Bustard habitats?				application to ☐ Not applicable
If yes, please provide details.				
Impact assessment did not prevent from the construction of the gas pipeline and power line along it from the Sea of Azov shelf to Bagerovo settlement. The constructions crossing the Great Bustard breeding area.				
Have there been any such projects implemented in any Great this Memorandum of Understanding?				try since signing Not applicable
Please, give details and describe the outcome of impact mon	itoring if ava	ilable.		
Asphalt road from Maryevka village to Opuk Nature Reserve through in Kerch peninsula had been constructed which crossing the key breeding sites of the Great Bustard. It is planned the wind farms construction near the villages Novonikolaevka, Zavetnoe, Marfovka (Kerch				

2. Prevention of hunting, disturbance and other threats

2.1 Hunting Is Great Bustard afforded strict legal protection in your country?	☑ Yes	□ No
Please, give details of any hunting restrictions imposed for the benefit of Great B timing of hunting and game management activities.	ustard including t	those on
As Great Bustard is listed in the Red Data Book of Ukraine no hunting is allowed	d for that species.	
Please, indicate to what extent these measures ensure the protection of the national The national population is covered by restrictions on hunting to prevent hunting. ☐ Fully (>75%) ☐ Most (50-75%) ☐ Some (10-49%) ☐ Little (<10%) ☐ Not at all ☐ Not applicable		
2.2 Prevention of disturbance What measures have been taken to prevent disturbance of Great Bustard in your breeding birds and single individuals or small flocks on migration?	our country, inclu	ding both
"Charivna gavan'" National Nature Park has been established in Tarkhankut per area for the Great Bustard. Nature conservation status of Karalarsky Regional upgrade up to National Nature Park. This protected area includes the breeding Great Bustard in Kerch peninsula. USPB rented for long term two steppe sites in nesting Great Bustard (120 ha for 20 years on the Tarkhankut peninsula and peninsula).	Landscape Park and wintering ar n AR Crimea, on	t has been eas of the which the
Please, indicate to what extent these measures have ensured the protection of the The national population is covered by restrictions on other activities causing distruction of the Fully (>75%) ☐ Most (50-75%) ☐ Some (10-49%) ☐ Little (<10%) ☐ Not at all ☐ Not applicable		on.
2.3.1 Prevention of predation. What is the significance of predation to Great Bustard in your country?		
Predation is the significant factor for the Great Bustard in Ukraine.		
What are the main predator species?		
Great Bustard's clutches of eggs and hatches are destroyed by Corvidae and Co as well as stray dogs. In winter White-tailed (sea) Eagle (Haliaeetus albicilla) oc the Great Bustard.	_	
What measures have been taken to control predators in areas where Great Bustar	d occurs regularly	<i>y</i> ?
Established network of volunteers, that inform to the USPB about the facts of pre	edation (eggs, chi	cks)
How effective were these measures? ☐ Effective (predation reduced by more than 50%) ☐ Partially effective (predation reduced by 10–49%)		

☑ Less effective (predation reduced by less than 10% ☐ Not applicable	%)				
2.3.2 Adoption of measures for power lines. What is the significance of collision with power lines	s in your c	ountry?			
There are bird mortality cases due to collisions with p Bustard under conditions of poor visibility (rain, fog.					ne Great
What proactive and corrective measures have been talines in your country?	iken to rec	luce the morta	llity caus	sed by existing	power
Ukrainian Society for the Protection of Birds (BirdLighthe northern coastline of the Sea of Azov in Donetsk of because of collisions with power lines. Azov-Black Sec in Crimea (Sivash, Western Crimea and Kerch penins prevent bird mortality caused by power lines are fore	blast' in 20 a Ornithol rula). Base	012–2013 aim logical Station	ed at esti has stari	imation of bird n ted similar study	nortality in 2013
What is the size of the populations affected by these	corrective	measures?			
The relevant studies are not finalized yet and correct	tive measu	ires are currei	ntly unde	er development.	
How effective were these measures? ☐ Effective (collision with power lines reduced by n ☐ Partially effective (collision with power lines reduced by ☐ Ineffective (collision with power lines reduced by ☑ Not applicable	iced by 10	–49%)			
2.3.3 Compensatory measures. What is the size (in hectares) of Great Bustard habita Memorandum of Understanding entered into effect (ıy reasor	ns since the	
No data available					
What is the size of the populations affected?					
No data available					
Were these habitat losses compensated?	□ Yes	☐ Partially	□ No	☑ Not applica	able
If yes, please explain how. Were these measures effective?	□ Yes	☐ Partially	□ No	☑ Not applica	able
Please, give details on the effectiveness or explain when	hy they wo	ere not effecti	ve if that	t is the case.	
3. Possession and trade					
Is collection of Great Bustard eggs or chicks, the pos prohibited in your country?	session of	and trade in t	he birds	and their eggs ✓ Yes	□ No
How are these restrictions enforced? What are the res	maining sl	nortcomings, i	f any?		
Enforcement is rather weak. According to some inform		-	_		

private zoos.

Please indicate if any exemption is granted or not all of these activities are prohibited.

If an owner of the Great Bustard specimen has all the documents that prove a legal origin of that specimen it is allowed to keep it in captivity.

4. Recovery measures					
4.1 Captive breeding* in emergency situations. Is captive breeding playing any role in Great Bustard conservations.	on in your co	ountry?	□ Yes	☑ No	
Please, describe the measures, staff and facilities involved and h criteria on reintroductions.	ow these op	erations co	mply with	the IUCN	
Currently there are no activities on breeding of Great Bustard in	ı captivity.				
4.2 Reintroduction. Have there been any measures taken to reintroduce the species in	n your count	ry?	□ Yes	☑ No	
If yes, please describe the progress. If there was any feasibility structure conclusions.	If yes, please describe the progress. If there was any feasibility study carried out, please summarize its conclusions.				
4.3 Monitoring of the success of release programmes. Are captive reared birds released in your country?			□ Yes	☑ No	
If yes, please summarize the experience with release programmes in your country. What is the survival rate of released birds? What is the breeding performance of released birds?					
What is the overall assessment of release programmes based on the survival of released birds one year after release? ☐ Effective (the survival is about the same as of the wild ones) ☐ Partially effective (the survival rate is lower than 75% of the wild birds) ☐ Ineffective (the survival is less than 25% of wild birds) ☑ Not applicable³					
5. Cross-border conservation measure					
Has your country undertaken any cross-border conservation mea	sures with n	eighbourin ⊠ No		? pplicable ⁴	
Please, give details of your country's collaboration with neighbouring countries on national surveys, research, monitoring and conservation activities for Great Bustard. Especially, list any measures taken to harmonise legal instruments protecting Great Bustard and its habitats, as well as funding you have provided to Great Bustard for particular conservation actions in other Range States.					

^{*} In effect, "captive breeding" should be read as "captive rearing" according to current practices.

3 No release is taking place in the country.

4 For countries which do not have any transboundary population.

6. Monitoring and research

6.1.1 Monitoring of population size and population trends.

Are the breeding, migratory or wintering Great Bustard	d populations monitored in y	vour country? ☑ Yes	□ No
What proportion of the national population is monitore ☐ All (>75%) ☐ Most (50-75%) ☑ Some (10-49%) ☐ Little (<10%) ☐ None ☐ Not applicable	ed?		
Mostly wintering birds are monitored			
What is the size and trend in the national population? ⁵			
Breeding/resident population	Non-breeding population (on passage, winte	ering)
No. of adult males: around <u>260-340</u> No. of females: around <u>260-340</u> No. immature males: no data	No. of females: arc	ound 3000-3500 ound 3000-3500 data	
Trend: ☐ Declined by% over the last 10 years ☐ Stable ☐ Increased by% over the last 10 years	Trend: ☑ Declined by 30 ☐ Stable ☐ Increased by		
For countries where the species occurs only occasional within the reporting period:	lly, please give the details of	known observati	ons
6.1.2 Monitoring of the effects of habitat manageme Is the effect of habitat conservation measures monitore		No □ Not app	licable
Please, provide a list of on-going and completed studie	es with references if results a	are already publis	hed.
The results of the project "Study of dependence of win cover" (2010–2012 in the south of Kherson oblast and implementation of the project "Monitoring of Earth" u 7 th Framework Programme in accordance with Grant Sea Catchment Observation and Assessment System su	d north of Crimea) will be p nder financial support of EE Agreement № 226740 «Buil	published in the r C in the framewo ding Capacity for	eport on ork of the
What can be learned from these studies?			
In winter the numbers and distribution of birds (includicovers. In winter 2010–2011 and 2011–2012 because foraging there was low numbers of the birds in their regof them may has died; other can change traditional with the second seco	of deep and solid snow cove gular winter areas in south o	r that prevents bi	rds from
What are the remaining gaps and what measures will y	our country do to address th	ese gaps?	
Further studies in other areas of south of Ukraine are	necessary.		
⁵ Only for countries where the species occurs regularly.			

Please, provide a list of on-going and completed studies with references if results are already published

Are the factors limiting breeding success in core populations understood in your country?

 Andryushchenko Yu. A. Importance of agricultural lands for protection of rare bird species in the south of Ukraine // Scientific Herald of National Agrarian University / Editorial Board: D.O. Melnichuk (Executive Editor) and others. – Kiev, 2006. – Issue 93. – P. 264–273. [Rus.]

 \square Yes \square Partially \square No \square Not applicable⁶

- 2. Andryushchenko Yu. A. New data on ecology of Demoiselle Crane, Great Bustard and Norfolk plover in the south of Ukraine / Ornithological studies in North Eurasia: Proceedings of the 12th International Ornithological Conference of North Eurasia. Stavropol, Stavropol State University Press, 2006. [Rus.]
- 3. Andryushchenko Yu. A. The Great Bustard // Red Data Book of Ukraine. Animal Kingdom / Ed. I.A. Akimov. Kiev, Globalconsulting, 2009. P. 444. [Ukr.]
- 4. Andryushchenko Yu. The Great Bustard in southern Ukraine // Great Bustards in Russia and Ukraine. Bustards studies, Volume 6 (2007). P.111–129.

What can be learned from these studies?

6.2.3 Investigation of factors limiting breeding success.

The results of the studies have shown that the Great Bustard has capacity (ecological, population and ethological) to restore former range, however, instability of numbers of nesting birds is currently observed. One of the reasons of that is that not sufficient actions have currently been undertaken in terms of the species habitat management; removal or decreasing of negative impact on it, prevention of taking eggs and chicks. In addition, there are no protected areas of high rank (reserves, national nature parks, regional landscape parks) within the limits of which the Great Bustard is wintered. Apart from withers with extreme conditions (low

-

⁶ Only for breeding countries.

temperatures and solid deep snow) poaching and all-round disturbance can contribute to decline in wintering Great Bustard. Those factors prevent birds from restoring their energetic loss (not enough time for foraging, many forced movements, impossibility to hide from wind etc.).

What are the remaining gaps and what measures are you going to take to address these gaps?

It is necessary:

- 1. Habitat management in the Great Bustard nesting areas;
- 2. To prevent illegal taking of eggs and chicks and;
- 3. Regulate predators numbers;
- 4. Create protected areas to protect the species wintering areas especially in the south of Kherson oblast and Kerch peninsula;
- 5. Take measures to prevent poaching.

8. Increasing awareness of the need to protect Great Bustards and their habitat

What measures have been taken to increase the awareness about the protection needs of the species and its habitat in your country since signing the Memorandum of Understanding?

The 3 rd edition of the Red Data Book of Ukraine had been published in 2009 where Great Bustard is listed. I order to make this information publically available an electronic version of the publication was put on official website of the Ministry of Ecology and Natural Resources of Ukraine. (http://menr.gov.ua/media/files/Articles/Red_book/Red_book_animals_2009_031209.rar)				
Do farmers, shepherds, political decision makers and local and regional authorities support Great Bustard conservation? \square Yes \square Partially \square No				
Yes, there are farmers and Societies of hunters who support Great Bustard conservation in the AR Crimea.				
What are the remaining gaps or problems and how are you going to address them?				
Enhancement of relevant public awareness company is needed.				
9. Economic measures				
Have there been any initiatives taken to develop economic activities that are in line with the conservation requirements of Great Bustard in your country? ☐ Yes ☐ Partially ☑ No ☐ Not applicable				
What percentage of the population is covered in total by these measures? □ All (>75%) □ Most (50-75%) □ Some (10-49%) □ Little (<10%) □ None □ Not applicable How effective were these measures? □ Effective (more than 50% of the targeted area is managed according to the species' needs) □ Partially effective (10–49% of the targeted area is managed according to the species' needs) □ Ineffective (less than 10% according to the species' needs) □ Not applicable				

10. Threats

Please, fill in the table below on main threats to the species in your country. Use the threat scores categories below to quantify their significance at national level. Please, provide an explanation on what basis you have assigned the threat score and preferably provide reference. Add additional lines, if necessary.

Threat scores:

<u>Critical</u>: a factor causing or likely to cause **very rapid declines** (>30% over 10 years). <u>High</u>: a factor causing or likely to cause **rapid declines** (20-30% over 10 years).

Medium: a factor causing or likely to cause relatively **slow, but significant, declines** (10-20% over 10

years.

Low: a factor causing or likely to cause **fluctuations.**

<u>Local</u>: a factor causing local declines but likely to cause **negligible declines at population level**.

<u>Unknown</u>: a factor that is likely to affect the species but it is unknown to what extent.

Threat name	Threat score	Explanation and reference
Habitat loss	Medium	Own studies
Losses of eggs and chicks	High	Own observations and data of questionnaire
Predation	High	Own observations
Collision with powerlines	Medium	Own observations and data of questionnaire
Human disturbance	High	Own studies
Pesticides	Local	Own observations
Illegal hunting	Critical	Data of questionnaire
Others (specify) Burn of steppe on breading	Low	Own observations
period		

PART II. COUNTRY-SPECIFIC ACTIONS

Please report on the implementation of the country-specific actions listed for your country in Part II of the Action Plan and provide information if that is not already covered by your answers under Part I. Please describe not only the measures taken but also their impact on Great Bustard or its habitat in the context of the objectives of the Memorandum of Understanding and the Action Plan. Where you have already answered on country-specific actions in Part I, please only add a reference to the relevant answer here.

According to Decree No 1030 of 07.11.2012 of the Cabinet of Ministers of Ukraine "On level of compensations for illegal taking, killing or damage of animal and plant species listed in the Red Data Book of Ukraine as well as for destruction or deterioration of their habitats" the fine for illegal taking, killing or damage of Great Bustard individuals or destruction of their habitats had been lifted from 1000 up to 20,000 UAH.

Some country-specific actions have been described above in 2.2, 2.3.2, 6.1.1, 6.1.2, 6.2.3, 6.2.4.